

CITY OF WHEAT RIDGE, COLORADO 7500 WEST 29TH AVENUE, MUNICIPAL BUILDING

April 23, 2018

Mayor Bud Starker called the Regular City Council Meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ROLL CALL OF MEMBERS

Monica Duran Z

Kristi Davis

Zachary Urban

George Pond

Tim Fitzgerald

Larry Mathews

Leah Dozeman

Absent: Janeece Hoppe (excused)

Also present: City Clerk, Janelle Shaver; City Attorney, Jerry Dahl; City Manager, Patrick Goff; Community Development Director, Ken Johnstone; Public Works Director, Scott Brink; Administrative Services Director, Heather Geyer; other staff, guests and interested citizens.

<u>APPROVAL OF City Council Minutes of March 26, 2018 and Study Notes of April 2, 2018</u>

There being no objections, the minutes of the Regular Meetings of March 26, 2018 and the Study Session Notes of April 2, 2018 were approved as published.

PROCLAMATIONS AND CEREMONIES

Sexual Assault Awareness Month

Mayor Starker read a proclamation declaring April as Sexual Assault Awareness Month and April 25 as Denim Day, on which people can wear denim to show support for this issue. Councilmember Duran received the proclamation and thanked the staff for their attention to this concern.

CITIZENS RIGHT TO SPEAK

Dorothy Archer (WR) remarked that no notice has been given to businesses about the changes that are being made to the sign code tonight. ~ She also said she would like Mr. Goff to call her with answers to the questions she raised at the last meeting about the survey. ~ She read from the Comprehensive Plan: Pg2 says "Preserve and enhance WR neighborhoods." Pg 11 "Maintaining the character of existing neighborhoods and establishing new housing options will retain and attract a large range of household types." No one is against a dilapidated house being razed. They

are against 35 feet. It would be simple to change to 25 feet with 15 ft bulk plane. Nowhere is anyone in favor of a 35 ft flat roof. She noted again 3310 and 3320 [Chase] that are monsters next to the ranch houses. She suggested using the structure plan will provide consistent character in a predominantly single family area – with some high quality multi-family that fits the neighborhood. "Fits the neighborhood" is important.

Vivian Vos (WR) commented on remarks that were made last week about citizen advisory boards. She is a volunteer, is on the Planning Commission, and has observed other committees and City Council regularly. Every citizen group she's seen discusses whether Council will take its advice; Council itself negotiates internally; and Councils have been overturned by the voters at times. Life and government are all about negotiations and compromise. All volunteers should remember that they are only advisory. Council should not be afraid people will not volunteer if their advice isn't taken. She pointed to the Outside Agency Committee – whose recommendations have always been accepted with no change – that still needs volunteers this year. She challenged all citizens to volunteer for boards and committees.

Roger Loescher (WR) commented on the projected traffic count for Wadsworth. We have 45K daily now, and 75K projected for 2040. The 1999 corridor plan projected 64.4K by 2020. If the 1999 numbers are being used, we should use the 1999 design. He described scenarios that are not realistic and he thinks the numbers are bad. Traffic will not be helped by the new proposed design. He suggested it's unrealistic to say Uturns will be possible. The Wadsworth Transportation, Land Use and Design Plan of May 12 1999 states on p.26 that "The finished value of the new development must be greater than the private costs for the purchase of property, site prep, construction and the other various costs." He noted how little the Wadsworth corridor is used by bikes or pedestrians in Lakewood and Arvada, and he thinks we should have a Plan B.

Bob Brazell (WR) remarked how everything is getting zoned to Multi-Use, and spoke against it. We control land use through zoning. He thinks Multi-Use in nonsense and that people should rezone to what they are going to do. They should have a plan. Developers increase their property value by getting it zoned MU, but we have no idea what will be built there later. The only way to control what goes on in our city and maintain our property values is through zoning. He suggested MU is an excuse. He'd rather see staff do research and do what's necessary to preserve our city.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

1. CONSENT AGENDA

a. Resolution <u>23-2018</u> – A Resolution amending the Fiscal year 2017 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Budget to reflect the approval of a decreased appropriation in the amount of \$1,647,566 [accounting requirements necessary to meet State budget law]

- b. Resolution <u>24-2018</u> A Resolution amending the Fiscal Year 2017 Open Space Budget to reflect the approval of a decreased appropriation in the amount of \$618,413 [accounting requirements necessary to meet State budget law]
- c. Motion to award a contract to Independent Roofing Specialists, Commerce City, CO in the amount of \$251,706 for City Hall Roof-HVAC repairs and replacement, and to approve a contingency amount of \$50,341 for total payment not to exceed \$302,047 [May 2017 hailstorm damage; insurance payment \$267,500 from CIRSA]
- d. Resolution <u>26-2018</u> A Resolution amending the Fiscal Year 2017 Budget to reflect the approval of increased available beginning fund balances in certain funds [adjustments; actual 2016 year-end revenues were higher and/or expenditures were lower than estimated; seven funds: Police Investigation, Capital Improvement (CIP), Open Space, Muni Court, Conservation Trust Fund, Crime Prevention/Code Enforcement Fund, and Rec Center Fund]

Councilmember Davis introduced the consent agenda.

<u>Motion</u> by Councilmember Davis to approve the Consent Agenda items a), b) c), d); seconded by Councilmember Pond.

Councilmember Mathews asked for clarification on the transfer of funds for 2017. Heather Geyer explained the budget clean-up to satisfy state law.

Councilmember Urban asked about the City Hall roof repairs – if it is being funded by insurance. Mr. Goff explained most of it is covered by insurance. Any overage will come from insurance money not being used elsewhere in the City.

Motion carried 7-0

PUBLIC HEARING AND ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING

2. Council Bill <u>08-2018</u> – An Ordinance amending Article VII (Sign Code) of Chapter 26 of the Wheat Ridge Code of Laws(Case No. ZOA-18-01

The June, 2015 US Supreme Court ruling on the case of Reed v. Town of Gilbert, AZ has had a significant impact on local government sign codes nationwide. Signs can no longer be regulated by their content; sign codes can only regulate time, place and manner. Besides bringing our sign code into compliance with federal law, the City Council is also using this opportunity to update the sign code with different standards, clarify enforcement language, and reaffirm former policy directions.

Councilmember Fitzgerald introduced Council Bill 08-2018.

Clerk Shaver assigned Ordinance 1641.

Mayor Starker opened the public hearing.

Staff presentation

Zach Wallace-Mendez presented.

- He entered into the record the case file, the zoning ordinance, and the contents of the digital presentation. He testified that all posting and notification requirements had been met.
- He explained the issue and reviewed the City Council study sessions and Planning Commission meetings that have addressed this matter.
- He reviewed the direction given by Council for revisions in the sign code.
 - o Anything referencing content was scrubbed from the code.
 - o Additional changes include:
 - Temporary signs commercial: 1 per business at a time.
 - Signs carried by persons (sign spinners): allowed in public ROW; not allowed on the street; no interference with traffic, bikes, pedestrians
 - Electronic Message Centers (LED): adds illumination standards; minimum time between messages reduced from 15 seconds to 8 seconds (industry standards and aligns with neighboring cities)
 - 3D signs: allowed as a percentage of wall or free standing sign allowance
 - Off –premise: sign applicant must be the property owner or lessee
 - Business district signage: to be regulated through an internal policy
 - Yard signs: no limit on number
 - Free standing signs: new development and total redevelopment must have monument signs only (no pole signs)
 - Non-conforming: no change at this time; more information needed
 - Changeable copy: continue to prohibit in MU-N and MU-C TOD zones
 - There have been additions, modifications, deletion of definitions based on sign categories and code-based language, and reorganization of the code
 - Billboards will not be affected by this change, other than renumbering. Staff met with representatives of the billboard industry and lobbyists last week. They are concerned that eliminating the off-premise sign category will open us to billboards in commercial corridors where they are currently not allowed.
 - Staff recommends the clarifying language saying that the sign applicant must be the property owner, so property owners can't sell off random pieces of land to accommodate a billboard by another entity.
 - Staff also recommends additional language added to 'size of sign' definition to define the measurement for a free-standing sign. (Amended motion provided.)
 - After adoption, staff will prepare new handouts and online documents. For the new illuminance and temporary sign regulations staff and the Public Information Officer will do outreach with local businesses. The graphics will be updated.

Public Comment

R.D. Sewald (Denver) represents Colorado Outdoor Advertising Association, some of whom were present. They participated in CDOT's rule making for the state sign code and recently worked with Jefferson County on their new sign code. He said defining on-and off-premise signs will not threaten free speech. Their objection is you shouldn't be

able to monetize from an on-premise sign; on-premise signs should advertise the primary use only. They fear businesses will buy spaces for signs at secondary locations. They oppose passage of the sign code as written. They are just now having a policy discussion with the staff. Do businesses and residents even know about this? This particular change is not about the Supreme Court Decision, so they wonder who is pushing this? He gave details about other local cities that are addressing their sign codes and including extensive public outreach. He asked for changes or a delay so the matter can be addressed.

Marcus Danneil (Greenwood Village) has been in outdoor advertising for 20 years. He gave his perspective related to on and off-premise signage. On-premise signs advertise the business at the location; off-premise signs refer to goods or services available elsewhere. This distinction has been around a long time. They believe doing away with the distinction will create sign chaos. Passive income from selling space will become more attractive, and indecent content will be protected. Owners of LED signs could sell space. Businesses in the community and those with expertise weren't brought in on this. They think this is a bad idea; it should be tabled for further study with input.

Steve Shinn (Denver) offered support for his colleagues. He believes this is a bad idea - a solution looking for a problem.

Mr. Dahl responded to the testimony of the billboard industry representatives:

- He's appreciative that they admit this presents competition for them and it is understandable that they are protective of their rights.
- All businesses in the city have a "sign budget" for the amount of space they are allowed for signs. He believes it is incorrect to say that this new ordinance will result in a proliferation of signs; it just won't.
- The fundamental legal question is that having to read a sign so that you know how to regulate it (i.e. determining that it is an off-premise sign) is regulation of content.
- This is the 8th sign code he has done in his practice and in his view, he thinks this is a good recommendation. Some jurisdictions may not take this approach, but others have. It is a well-established practice; Wheat Ridge will not be out there by itself.

Council questions followed.

Councilmember Duran asked about the Jefferson county sign language. Mr. Dahl said he assumes they are just retaining the language prohibiting off-premise signs.

Councilmember Mathews asked about WR Cyclery having signs on the vacant land at 38th & Wadsworth for a time. Mr. Dahl explained that a property owner can reach an agreement with a party to allow signs. Mr. Johnstone commented that at the time those were illegal temporary signs and he doesn't recall how that was handled by code enforcement. Wheat Ridge Corners now has an approved masterplan for signs that they will regulate internally; he expects there will be lots of demand for space from onsite tenants. But, in the spirit of content neutrality, the City cannot control the content.

Councilmember Davis reported having met with some of the people who spoke tonight. She has the language that Jefferson County used, and would like our ordinance to mirror that. She is concerned about removing the definition of off-premise sign.

- She read an outline of elements in the Jefferson County sign code.
- Mr. Dahl advised that this offers more than what the billboard folks are asking for. It
 distinguishes between commercial and non-commercial signs which he sees as
 content regulation. He advised against adding all of that. He advised that a simple
 prohibition of off-premise signs would be adequate.
- Councilmember Davis wants to add back the definition of off-premise sign.
- Mr. Dahl suggested that could be added without delaying this ordinance.

Councilmember Mathews asked how far "no regulation of content" can go. Can a naked picture be on a sign?

• Mr. Dahl advised that the unanimous Supreme Court decision suggests there are a number of regulatory tools that can be used; content is not one of them. He spoke about cases on obscenity (e.g. art) and a "compelling state interest" (e.g. putting a stop sign in the wrong place). The need to respect content is basic and simple to do. He believes the added wording about ownership of the sign achieves that purpose.

Councilmember Urban and Mr. Dahl exchanged thoughts about the opinions of the various Supreme Court Justices on the Reed v Gilbert decision.

Councilmember Duran said she would support adding Jefferson County's language about off-premise signs.

Councilmember Dozeman asked for clarification on pole signs. Mr. Wallace reviewed Council's recommendation to allow pole signs for existing and replacement signs, but new development would have to have monument signs. He confirmed that variances are allowed.

Councilmember Urban asked Mr. Sewald if the addition of the County's off-premise definition would satisfy them.

- Mr. Sewald said they like having the off-premise definition, but they are opposed to opening the gate for advertising for something other than the primary use.
- Councilmember Davis suggested including the County wording that includes allowing a business to advertise products they are selling at their store.
- Mr. Dahl advised Councilmember Duran that if the definition of an off-premise sign is added back, and also prohibited, it will satisfy the concerns of the billboard industry.
- Mr. Dahl noted that after time it may be necessary, as with any comprehensive regulation, to do small fixes to this ordinance.

Mayor Starker closed the public hearing.

Motion by Councilmember Fitzgerald to approve Council Bill <u>08-2018</u> an ordinance amending Article VII of Chapter 26 of the Wheat Ridge Code of Laws concerning sign regulations (Case No.ZOA-18-01), on second reading and that it take effect 15 days after final publication, with the following revisions:

- Strike and insert in Section 4 of the ordinance and Section 26-705.E of the Code, "The property owner, principal lessee, or authorized agent of the PLATTED OR OTHERWISE RECOGNIZED AS LEGALLY DEVELOPABLE PARCEL...."
- 2. Add the following language to the definition of "size of sign" in Section 26-702 (Definitions.): "For a freestanding sign, the sign area shall include the frame, if any, but shall not include the pole or other structural support unless such pole or structural support is internally illuminated or otherwise so designed to constitute a display, or part of a display device. Architectural features that are either part of the building or part of a freestanding structure, and not an integral part of the sign, and which may consist of landscaping, building, or structural forms completing the site in general shall also not be in the sign area."
- 3. Where applicable in the code, add the definition of off-premise sign as follows: A commercial sign advertising a land use, business, product or service not located or available as the primary business, product or service upon the premises whereon the sign is located.

seconded by Councilmember Urban.

Mr. Dahl asked if the maker of the motion would add the words: "and such additional conforming changes as necessary to implement the addition of the definition of the off-premise sign, and the prohibition of same." During discussion it was determined that adding the words "...and prohibiting the same." to the end of condition 3 would be adequate. The maker of the motion and the second agreed.

Clerk Shaver confirmed from Councilmember Fitzgerald that Condition No.1 should read as "developable parcel", not "developed parcel".

Motion carried 7 -0.

 Council Bill <u>09-2018</u> – An Ordinance approving a Zone change from Agricultural-One (A-1) to Planned Residential Development (PRD) with an Outline Development Plan (ODP) for property located at 5372 and 5392 Quail Street (Case No. WZ-17-11/Clark)

The applicant is requesting approval of a zone change for the 5.25 acres to allow for development of single family homes and townhomes.

Councilmember Mathews introduced Council Bill <u>09-2018</u>.

Clerk Shaver assigned Ordinance 1642.

Mayor Starker opened the public hearing and swore in the speakers.

Staff presentation

Zack Wallace Menendez presented.

- He entered into the record the case file, the zoning ordinance, the Comprehensive Plan and the contents of the digital presentation. He testified that all posting and notification requirements had been met.
- The property is 5 acres surrounded by Arvada on 3 sides (west, north and east).
- The PRD zoning will allow for single family attached and detached homes.
- He presented the Outline Development Plan showing allowed uses, lot layout and street locations.
 - 14 single family are proposed along the north and east sides of the development.
 - No more than 42 attached town homes will be in the center, bordering south and west.
 - o Home occupations and pets will be allowed. RV storage will be prohibited.
- The neighborhood meeting was held December 5, 2017. 22 neighbors attended.
 - Concerns were raised about the placement of townhomes along 54th Ave, so they were moved south of 54th Ave – away from the single family.
 - o There was concern about traffic impacts to Ridge Road and general questions about architectural style, drainage, and potential price points.
- During the posting and notification period, staff received one call about property lines and process, and one letter of support.
- Outside agencies report they can serve the property and have no concerns.
- If the rezoning is approved that next steps will be:
 - o Subdivision Plat review/approval by Planning Commission and City Council.
 - Review of the Subdivision Improvement Agreement by staff.
 - o Specific Development Plan review/approval by Planning Commission only.
- The Comprehensive Plan designates this area as a neighborhood.
- Mr. Wallace explained the neighborhood context. Since the coming of the commuter rail, more of the recent developments have been higher density.
- Staff recommends approval with three conditions:
 - That the SDP and Subdivision Plat not be reviewed at public hearing until Quail Street has been dedicated as ROW. (Arvada and Wheat Ridge are working closely on this.)
 - o If final utility and/or drainage designs affect off-site property owners, written authorization shall be provided prior to public hearings.
 - Single-family detached minimum rear yard setbacks shall be increased from 10 feet to 15 feet.

Applicant

Summer Clark (WR), the applicant, gave a slide presentation about the history of her family farm since the 1880's, which included aerial photos from 1920 and 1978 and photos over time. The farm was surrounded by 100+ acres of open space – some preserved with a 100 year pledge. She spoke of the area farming operations, a nearby horse-rescue pasture, property used by Ridge Home, and the wildlife. The wildlife was first driven away by the Rainbow Ridge development in the 1980's, followed by the Skyline Estates in early 2000's. The family made an effort to maintain their land, but the views they once had now belong to others. As properties developed around them and

complaints from neighbors about the farm animals persisted, the family finally considered that it was time to relinquish their farm lifestyle for the good of the neighborhood. They are working with Wonderland Homes to develop Quail Run.

Public Comment

Maryann Rodriguez (Arvada) lives on 54th. She appreciates the townhomes being moved, but has concerns about traffic. She thinks the traffic studies are unrealistic. Things are getting so crowded in this area, she encouraged councilmembers to come up there and see what is happening. A drawing on a paper doesn't really show it all. Her other concern is building height. She would like to see only single family homes, because that's what is in the area and she wishes Wheat Ridge would retain its openness and lower density. That said, all the homes and condos in the area are 2 stories. She hopes the City will not allow 3-story dwellings.

There were no questions or comments from Council.

Mayor Starker closed the public hearing,

Motion by Councilmember Mathews to approve Council Bill <u>09-2018</u> an ordinance approving the rezoning of property located at 5372 and 5392 Quail Street from Agricultural-One (A-1) to Planned Residential Development (PRD) on second reading, and that it take effect 15 days after final publication for the following reasons:

- 1. City Council has conducted a proper public hearing that meets all public notice requirements as required by Section 26-109 of the Code of Laws.
- 2. The requested rezoning has been reviewed by the Planning Commission, which has forwarded its recommendation of approval.
- 3. The requested rezoning has been found to comply with the criteria for review in Section 26-112.E. of the Code of Laws.

seconded by Councilmember Dozeman; carried 7-0

4. Resolution <u>25-2018</u> – A Resolution establishing a Street Width for 29th Ave from Kendall Street to Fenton Street, for Fenton Street from 29th Avenue to 30th Avenue, and for 30th Avenue from Harlan Street to Fenton Street.

It has been one year since the street width for 29th Ave east of Ingalls St was designated by Council. The project scope has now expanded the full width construction of 29th Avenue to Kendall Street. As a result, a new street width designation is required in accordance with the provisions of the City Charter.

Councilmember Duran introduced Resolution No. 25-2018.

Staff Presentation

• Mr. Westberg explained that on April 24, 2017 the street width designations were approved by Council for 30th Ave, Fenton and 29th Ave in the area.

- Two months later Edgewater came to the City with a development proposal for the vacant land south of 29th Ave between Kendall and Ingalls Streets. Edgewater also desires to construct a waterline between Kendall and Gray.
- It was decided to coordinate the design and construction work with Edgewater to minimize the impact to traffic on 29th Avenue.
- Since the project scope for 29th has expanded to Kendall Street, and it has been one year since the street width east of Ingalls Street was designated by Council, a new street width designation is required to comply with the City Charter.
- Mr. Westberg reviewed the street width designation process.
- Total cost of the project is \$1.57M
 - o Denver Water -- \$411.1K, as approved in the 2017 IGA
 - o Edgewater -- \$322,275, with the IGA scheduled for approval June 11, 2018.
 - Wheat Ridge -- \$836,625
 - o This will include curb gutter and sidewalk from Fenton to Kendall.
- We are designing and building all of it; Edgewater and Denver Water are responsible for paying for certain portions of the project.
- Mr. Westberg went through diagrams of the various portions of the plan.
- Fenton Street will be widened by 2 feet (to 38 feet wide) to accommodate the onstreet parking at Wheat Ridge Manor.
- 30th Avenue is being shrunk in width to our standard width. This will allow for more landscaping to buffer the view of the water tanks.
- The construction contract should be awarded in July, with construction starting after the bike race on Aug 18th.

Mayor Starker opened the public hearing.

Public Comment

Raymond Ely (WR), owner of the property at 29th and Ingalls, wanted to know about the number of bump-outs on his property, as he can't tell from the drawings. He can't tell from the drawing. Mr. Westberg will work to resolve his concerns.

Discussion followed.

- Councilmembers Mathews had a question about the process.
- Councilmember Dozeman asked how long the construction will take. Mr. Westberg advised that most of the main construction should be done by fall with finishing work done after that – based on weather. Some of the work on 30th Ave will happen next April or May to coordinate with Denver Water's project.
- Councilmember Dozeman reference the current struggle of the businesses on 29th
 due to Denver Water. She asked what efforts will be taken to support those
 businesses through an even longer construction project.
 - o Mr. Westberg said this road construction will not involve underground utilities so they should be able to keep one lane open at all times.
 - o Councilmember Dozeman asked if extra signage would be possible for that area. Mr. Goff said they could do that.
- Councilmember Duran asked if the current street closure on 29th will conclude at the end of the month.

- Mr. Westberg understands that Denver Water is running ahead of schedule. They
 should finish the underground work (street closure) next week. After that they will
 have to do street repairs, but they can have traffic flow during that. Also, this new
 project will cover Fenton west to Kendall; Fenton to Sheridan should not be
 affected.
- Mr. Westberg explained what street lighting there will be and where.
- Sidewalks will be 5 feet wide which is standard for residential.
- There will be no traffic signal at 29th & Fenton; it will be a 2-way stop only. We may add a flashing beacon.

Mayor Starker closed the public hearing.

<u>Motion</u> by Councilmember Duran to approve Resolution No. <u>25-2018</u>, a resolution establishing a street width for 29th Avenue from Kendall Street to Fenton Street, for Fenton Street from 29th Avenue to 30th Avenue, and for 30th Avenue from Harlan Street to Fenton Street, seconded by Councilmember Urban; carried 7-0.

Mayor Starker recessed the meeting at 9:13pm. The meeting resumed at 9:21pm.

ORDINANCES ON FIRST READING

5. Council Bill <u>11-2018</u> – An ordinance approving the rezoning property located at 4650 Wadsworth from Residential-Two (R-2) to Mixed Use-Neighborhood (MU-N) (Case No. WZ-18-06/Bielich)

The proposed rezoning is for a 1/3 acre plot. The MU-N zone provides medium density mixed-use development, including residential, office and a range of neighborhood-serving commercial and retail uses.

Councilmember Urban introduced Council Bill 11-2018

Motion by Councilmember Urban to approve Council Bill 11-2018 an ordinance approving the rezoning of property located at 4650 Wadsworth from Residential-Two (R-2) to Mixed Use-Neighborhood (MU-N) located at 4650 Wadsworth from Residential-Two (R-2) to Mixed Use-Neighborhood (MU-N) on first reading, order it published, public hearing set for Monday, May 14, 2018, at 7 p.m. in City Council Chambers, and that it take effect 15 days after final publication; seconded by Councilmember Duran; carried 7-0.

6. Council Bill <u>10-2018</u> – An Ordinance approving the rezoning of property located at 11221 W. 44th Avenue from Agricultural-One (A-1) and Commercial-One (C-1) to Mixed Use-Neighborhood (MU-N) (Case No. WZ-18-07/Chrisp)

The property consists of one 2.25 acre plot with split zoning. The western half of the property contains 4 houses built in 1869 and 1909. The eastern half of the property contains a "Nightclub, Bar Lounge" that was licensed as a tavern until recently.

Councilmember Dozeman introduced Council Bill 10-2018

<u>Motion</u> by Councilmember Dozeman to approve Council Bill <u>10-2018</u> an ordinance approving the rezoning of property located at 11221 W. 44th Avenue from Agricultural-One (A-1) and Commercial-One (C-1) to Mixed Use-Neighborhood (MU-N) on first reading, order it published, public hearing set for Monday, May 14, 2018 at 7 p.m. in City Council Chambers, and that it take effect 15 days after final publication; seconded by Councilmember Mathews; carried 7-0.

DECISIONS, RESOLUTIONS AND MOTIONS

7. Motion to approve appointment of Representatives to the Outside Agency Program Citizen Review Committee

This committee reviews requests for funding from outside agencies and organizations and makes recommendations to the City Council.

Councilmember Pond introduced Item 7

<u>Motion</u> by Councilmember Pond to appoint Scott Wesley, Carla Mead, John Clark, and Tracy Langworthy as current members to the Outside Agency Program Citizen Review Committee, from Districts I, II and IV respectively, term to expire after the 2019 budget recommendations are presented in 2018; seconded by Councilmember Urban; carried 7-0.

<u>Motion</u> by Councilmember Pond to appoint Alejandra Major (District I) and Margie Robinson (District III) to the Outside Agency Program Citizen Review Committee, term to expire after the 2020 budget recommendations are presented in 2019; seconded by Councilmember Davis; carried 7-0.

<u>Motion</u> by Councilmember Pond to appoint Sunny Garcia to the Outside Agency Program Citizen Review Committee, living in District IV, but filling a District II spot, term to expire after the 2020 budget recommendations are presented in 2019; seconded by Councilmember Dozeman; carried 7-0.

Motion by Councilmember Pond to appoint Alissa Antol to the Outside Agency Program Citizen Review Committee, District III term to expire after the 2020 budget recommendations are presented in 2019.; seconded by Councilmember Urban; carried 7-0.

CITY COUNCIL MINUTES: April 23, 2018

CITY MANAGER'S MATTERS

CITY ATTORNEY'S MATTERS

ELECTED OFFICIALS' MATTERS

Clerk Shaver shared with Council having seen on TV part of a panel discussion with the fire chiefs from Arvada, Fairmount, Larkspur and another small department. They were discussing the possibility of having to close some fire stations due to lack of revenue. She suggested with all the new residential and commercial growth in Wheat Ridge, Council may want to address, up front, some funding mechanism from the developers, to ensure we have adequate resources for all the services we will have to provide. ~ She is glad to hear that Council is talking about the businesses on 29th that are struggling due to the construction. She encouraged people to shop at Wheat Ridge Poultry and the other businesses there.

Leah Dozeman reported that ATAT will be having their first Neighborly Streets meeting this Thursday, April 26th at Pietra's at 44th & Field from 6:45 – 8:30pm. The topics will be neighborly streets and traffic calming.

ADJOURNMENT The City Council Meeting adjourned at 9:33pm

Jahelle Shaver, City Clerk

APPROVED BY CITY COUNCIL ON May 14, 2018

Tim Fitzgerald, Mayor Pro tem

The preceding Minutes were prepared according to §47 of Robert's Rules of Order, i.e. they contain a record of what was *done* at the meeting, not what was *said* by the members. Recordings and DVD's of the meetings are available for listening or viewing in the City Clerk's Office, as well as copies of Ordinances and Resolutions.